200

PATENT COOPERATION TREA

PCT

REC'D	16	NOV	2005
WIPO			PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

International application No. Interna			ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER A	CTION		n of Transmittal of International amination Report (Form PCT/IP	EA/416)	
			International filing date 17.06.2003	(day/mont/	h/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 13.06.2003			
1	nation		ent Classification (IPC) or b	I oth national classification	and IPC				
Appli AEF		ACE	COMPOSITE TECH	NOLOGIES LTD et a	al				
1.	 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 								
2.	. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.								
		bee	s report is also accompa n amended and are the Rule 70.16 and Section	basis for this report and	d <i>i</i> or sheet:	s containina re	on, claims and/or drawings we clifications made before this he PCT).	hich have s Authority	
	The	se an	nexes consist of a total of	of sheets.					
3.	This	repo	rt contains indications re	lating to the following i	tems:				
	1	⊠ ⊠	Basis of the opinion	gg .					
	ii		Priority						
	Ш	\boxtimes	•	oninion with regard to r	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	IV		Lack of unity of inventi		d to hoverty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	V 🛮 Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					licability;			
	VI		Certain documents cite	ed					
	VII		Certain defects in the i	nternational application	า				
	VIII		Certain observations o	n the international app	lication				
Date	Date of submission of the demand			Date of c	completion of thi	s report			
12.0	1.20	05			14.11.2		•		
Name	and	nailing	address of the internation	al	Authorize	ed Officer			
prelin	preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office					ند	Sentretion Potagles		
D-80298 Munich			Salenti	ny, G		<i>(</i>)))) }			
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			l	ne No. +49 89 2	399-8337				
		, ca.	170 UJ 2UJJ - 44UU		elephor	1e No. +49 89 2	399-8337	Nowa saling .	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB2003/002600

I.	Basis	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages				
	1-1	3	as originally filed			
	Cla	ims, Numbers				
1-24			as originally filed			
	.	. Same Observa				
	Dra	wings, Sheets				
	1/10	0-10/10	as originally filed			
With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
		the language of pub	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 🔌 3).			
3.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.			
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.			
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that t in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.			
. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB2003/002600

5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).			the amendments had not been made, since they have filed (Rule 70.2(c)).		
		(Any replacement sheet conta report.)	aining	such amendi	ments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
6.	Add	dditional observations, if necessary:					
111.	. Nor	n-establishment of opinion w	/ith re	gard to nove	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	The	e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international applica	ation,				
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 15-24					
		because:					
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 15-24 are sunclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				icular elements below) or said claims Nos. 15-24 are so led (specify):		
see separate sheet							
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.					
2.	or a	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/ or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:					
		the written form has not been	furnisł	ned or does r	not comply with the Standard.		
٧.	Rea citat	leasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; itations and explanations supporting such statement					
۱.	State	tatement					
	Nove	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14		
	Inve	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	8-14 1-7		
Industrial applic		strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14		

2. Citations and explanations

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB2003/002600

see separate sheet

Re Item III: Non-establishment of opinion

The data processing equipment claimed in claims 15-24 is entirely defined with reference to the ice detection apparatus and its components which are however not part of the claimed subject-matter. This introduces a clarity problem (Art. 6 PCT) as the subjectmatter for which the applicant seeks protection for is undefined. It is however noted that figure 4 of D1 discloses a data processing equipment for an ice detection apparatus receiving input from various sensor elements. The system disclosed in D1 furthermore comprises comparator means (90) for the detection of ice.

Re Item V: Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) PCT

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 484 121 (Padawer et al., 16.01.1996)

D2: US-A-5 748 091 (John Jungwoo Kim, 05.05.1998)

To claims 1-7

Claim 1 lacks clarity (Art. 6 PCT) as there is no interaction stated between the electromagnetic radiation emitter and the array of (electromagnetic radiation) sensors. The wording of the claim does indeed not mention that the ice detection apparatus uses the array of sensors for actually sensing diffused electromagnetic radiation from this very single emitter, nor does it address the presence of a data processing equipment which receives, in use, signals representative of the intensity of diffused radiation from the plurality of sensors, thereby evaluating the type of ice accretion. The data processing equipment making the link between the emitter and the claimed array of sensors is absent in the claim; this feature is however considered an essential feature which aims at monitoring the distribution of the diffused radiation along the array of sensors.

Claiming only a sensor distribution can however be looked upon as being known from various prior art documents (e.g. D1, figure 1) and the subject-matter of claim 1 thus appears to lack novelty in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The subject-matter of claim 1 lacks an inventive step in view of the teachings of D2. This

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

prior art document teaches the use of sensors positioned at different distances from an emitter (column 4, line 13 - column 5, line 10). This arrangement is used to detect the profile of the reflected light in order to establish the thickness of accreted ice. Claim 1, departing from the teachings of D2, claims the emitter to be located 'intermediate' of the array of sensors. The location of the sensors at different distances from the emitter and the effect achieved therewith is therefore known in the art. The particular arrangement of the emitter as claimed is looked upon as representing merely one of several straightforward possibilities for positioning the sensors with regard to the emitter. Such a positioning is known in the art (e.g. from D1, figure 3e).

The additional features of claims 2-5 reflect mere design options for the positioning of the sensors at different distances from the emitter. An inventive activity in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT may not be attributed to any of these configurations.

Flush mounted sensors are well known in the art (e.g. D1, fig. 3d). D1 also discloses an apparatus for detecting ice accretion on an aircraft surface (Title). Art 33(3) PCT is thus not met for the subject-matter of claims 6 and 7.

To claims 8-14

None of the documents of the search report discloses a method of analysing the distribution of diffused radiation in order to establish the type of accreted ice. The method claimed in claims 8-14 is therefore considered to meet the novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT) and inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) requirements set out in the PCT.